

## **BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP**

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
2030 Addison Street, Seventh Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704  
Telephone: (510) 843-6200 Facsimile: (510) 843-6203  
www.beyerlaw.com

### **FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

July 19, 2004

**Receiver:** Examiner Robert J. Mendoza  
USPTO

**FAX #:** 703-746-8797

**Sender:** Tomika D. Thomas, Patent Secretary to:  
DAVID P. OYNICK

**Our Ref. No.:** IGT1P054/P-355  
**Application No.:** 09/954,816

**Re:** Applicant Initiated Interview Request Forms

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 3

**MESSAGE:**

---

#### **CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

---

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re application of: Robert E. Breckner, et al.

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P054/P-355

Application No.: 09/954,816

Examiner: Robert J. Mendoza

Filed: September 10, 2004

Group: 3713

Title: MODULAR TILT HANDLING SYSTEM

---

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 19, 2004.

Signed: Tomika Thomas

Tomika Thomas

**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

1) David P. Olynick  
3)2) Keith Moore  
4)

Proposed Date of Interview: July 27, 2004

Proposed Time: 1 PM

Type of Interview Requested:

☐ Telephone ☒ Personal ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, provide brief description:

**ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) rejected claims			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:**

Discuss/Distinguish invention from prior art. Prior art cited by the Examiner does not mention the term "tilt" or "error." Want to determine where Examiner believes limitations in regards to tilt handling are described in Prior art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on July 27, 2004.

\*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)  
Signature)

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)